
SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 4 June 2018

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 15 June 2018**

8 June 2018

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co- ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for City Services – Monday 4 June 2018

Report 4 Report - Petition – 20mph Zone for a Safer and Healthier Tile Hill Village

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

1. Note the petitioners concerns.
2. Endorse that the actions confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson (as detailed in paragraph 1.8 of the report) are undertaken, noting that Planning Committee have since resolved that the grant of planning permission be delegated to the Head of Planning and Regulation in respect of Application OUT/2016/1874 subject to conditions and subject to the completion of a satisfactory Section 106 Agreement to secure the contributions and obligations listed within the report and the Late Representation document.

The above Recommendations were approved

Report 5 Report - Petition – Improve Road Markings and Dropping Off Facilities Outside Parkhill Primary School

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

1. Note the petitioners concerns.
2. Endorse that the actions confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson (as detailed in paragraph 1.6 of the report) are undertaken.

Delete recommendations 1 and 2 above and insert the following recommendation:

1. Consideration of the report be deferred to allow for further consultation with the local ward councillors and the school, with a report being submitted to a future Cabinet Member meeting.

The above recommendation was approved

Report 6 Report - Petition – Request for Residents’ Parking Scheme on Momus Boulevard

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

1. Note the petitioners concerns.
2. Endorse that the actions confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson (as detailed in paragraph 1.5 of the report) are undertaken.
3. Approve that a reduction in the double yellow line (as detailed in paragraph 2.2 of the report) is advertised as part of the next waiting restriction review.

Delete recommendations 1, 2 and 3 above and insert the following recommendation:

1. Consideration of the report be deferred to allow for further consultation with Councillor Singh and the local residents, with a report being submitted to a future Cabinet Member meeting.

The above recommendation was approved

Report 7 Report – Objections to Experimental Traffic Regulation Order – Bus Lane Review Phase 1

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

1. Consider the objections received to the making permanent of the Phase 1 of the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order.
2. Subject to recommendation 1 above, approve the making permanent of the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order thereby permanently removing the bus lanes in phase 1.

The above Recommendations were approved

Report 8 Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:-

1. Endorse the actions being taken by officers as set out in Section 2 and Appendix A of the report in response to the petitions received.

The above Recommendation was approved

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS**:-

1. It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
 - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.